UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| | D NAGER & ASSOCIATES, INC., Plaintiff, v. ERSAL AUTOMOTIVE, INC, Defendant. |))) CIVIL ACTION) NO. 04-10945-RGS)) |
|-------|---|---|
| | | E: REFERENCE FOR E DISPUTE RESOLUTION |
| | TO JU | JDGE STEARNS |
| [] | The above-entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR. | |
| [X] | On <u>February 1, 2005</u> , | I held the following ADR proceeding: |
| | SCREENING CONFERENCE | EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION |
| | X MEDIATION | SUMMARY BENCH/JURY TRIAL |
| | MINI-TRIAL | SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE |
| | All parties were represented by cour corporate officer. | nsel, and were present in person or by authorized |
| | The case was: | |
| [X] | Settled. Your clerk should enter a 30-day order of dismissal. | |
| [] | There was progress. A further conference has been scheduled for, unless the case is reported settled prior to that date. | |
| [] | Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list. | |
| [] | Suggested strategy to facilitate settle | ement: |
| | | |
| | | |

DATED: February 1, 2005

/ s / Judith Gail Dein Judith Gail Dein, U.S. Magistrate Judge ADR Provider